



New architectures in hydrogen bond catalysis

Andrew A. Rodriguez, Hoseong Yoo, Joseph W. Ziller, Kenneth J. Shea *

Department of Chemistry, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA 92697-2025, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 8 July 2009

Revised 19 September 2009

Accepted 21 September 2009

Available online 25 September 2009

ABSTRACT

New achiral sulfamide, phosphoric triamide, and thiophosphoric triamide compounds have been synthesized. Their activity as hydrogen bond catalysts for the Friedel–Crafts and Baylis–Hillman reactions compares favorably with that of a known and an active thiourea catalyst. The new compounds were also studied by X-ray crystallography and their solid state structures are described.

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Hydrogen bonding is a ubiquitous force in nature. Not until relatively recently have chemists begun to implement this force in catalysis, but already with extraordinary success.¹ The majority of hydrogen bonding (HB) catalysts incorporate the thiourea functional group.² Advantages of the thiourea include air and water stability, ease of synthesis and modification, and activity toward a wide range of substrates.

Despite their success, thiourea catalysts suffer from some significant disadvantages. First, they have relatively weak activity, frequently necessitating high catalyst loading and/or long reaction times to achieve a satisfactory yield. A more active HB catalyst would be desirable. The second concern for the thiourea functionality is its sensitivity to heat. At elevated temperatures (>75 °C), some thiourea catalysts have been reported to decompose.³ Although the majority of HB-catalyzed reactions are run at or below room temperature, a more thermally robust catalyst could allow for a more versatile catalyst system.

Several non-thiourea HB catalysts have been developed as potential alternatives. These can be categorized into neutral and protonated catalysts. Notable examples of the neutral compounds include those based on squaramide,⁴ sulfonamide,⁵ and urea-*N*-sulfoxide⁶ structures. Protonated catalysts include those based on guanidinium,⁷ quinolinium thioamide,⁸ and ammonium⁹ structures. While the latter catalysts tend to activate electrophiles more strongly than the former, due to their increased acidity, they are incompatible with basic functionality. This serves to limit substrate scope and places restrictions on the catalyst design. Based on this consideration, we focused on improved neutral thiourea alternatives. Our aim was to develop new neutral molecular designs that display improved catalytic activity over the thiourea motif. We focus in this Letter on the relative catalytic efficiency of these structural motifs.





Figure 1. The sulfamide, phosphoric triamide, and thiophosphoric triamide structures.



Scheme 1. Synthesis of sulfamide **2**, phosphoric triamide **3**, and thiophosphoric triamide **4**.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 949 824 5844; fax: +1 949 824 2210.
E-mail address: kjshea@uci.edu (K.J. Shea).

We identified three motifs as the promising candidates: (i) sulfamides, (ii) phosphoric triamides, and (iii) thiophosphoric triamides. All the structures, like thioureas, join two or more amide-like groups to a single electron-withdrawing atom (Fig. 1). As such, they were expected to exhibit a similar mode of activity toward common substrates. Replacement of the thiocarbonyl group with sulfone, phosphorus oxide, or phosphorus sulfide tethers however was anticipated to give these compounds modified, perhaps improved, binding properties. In addition, the phosphoric triamide and thiophosphoric triamide would hold a potential kinetic binding advantage as a consequence of the third active hydrogen bond. All three structures exhibit exceptional hydrogen bonding ability in the solid state,¹⁰ yet to our knowledge none have been utilized as HB catalysts.

For this study, the thiourea catalyst **1** designed by Schreiner^{2a} was used for comparison purposes. This catalyst represents one of the most active and versatile thiourea catalysts known. The corresponding sulfamide **2**, phosphoric triamide **3**, and thiophosphoric triamide **4** were synthesized as shown in Scheme 1. Sulfamide **2** was prepared using a procedure developed for thiourea **1**, in which sulfuryl chloride was treated with 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)aniline in the presence of triethylamine. A modified procedure, with elevated temperature in the absence of solvent, was necessary to synthesize phosphoric triamide **3** and thiophosphoric triamide **4**. The three new structures **2–4** would allow a direct comparison between the thiourea, sulfamide, phosphoric triamide, and thiophosphoric triamide groups as HB catalysts.





Figure 2. Solid state structures of compounds **1**, **2**, **3**, and **4**. Packing behavior and hydrogen bonding networks are shown with selected bond distances and angles highlighted.


The target molecules **2–4** were isolated as crystalline solids, and X-ray crystal structures were solved for each one (see Fig. 2). The solid state structure of thiourea **1** has been previously solved,¹¹ and was used for comparison. In all cases, the compounds formed three-dimensional hydrogen bonding networks. Thiourea **1** exists almost completely flat in the solid state, forming linear hydrogen bonding chains that involves double hydrogen bonding typical of ureas and thioureas.¹² Hydrogen bonds were 2.54 Å in length, measured from the hydrogen atom to the acceptor atom, with a 158° NHS angle. In contrast to the thiourea's flat structure, sulfamide **2** adopted a folded configuration in the crystal. It formed linear intermolecular hydrogen-bonded chains with two 2.05 Å hydrogen bonds between each pair of neighboring molecules, each of which had an NHO angle of 172°. Phosphoric triamide **3** adopted a partially folded conformation, with two parallel N–H bonds. It also formed linear chains, but with only one 1.96 Å hydrogen bond between each pair of neighboring molecules with an NHO angle of 175°. Thiophosphoric triamide **4** adopted a similar conformation as that of **3**, but its extended crystal structure consisted of isolated, loosely associated dimers with weaker 2.60 Å hydrogen bonds at NHS angles of 165°. Compared to thiourea **1**, each of the new compounds **2–4** displayed a similar ability to donate organized and directional hydrogen bonds, suggesting a potential for catalytic activity.

A study to assess catalytic activity was next initiated. The Friedel–Crafts reaction between *N*-methyl indole and β-nitrostyrene was chosen as the first test reaction to compare the catalytic activity of compounds **1–4**. Thioureas have previously been shown to be competent catalysts for this transformation.¹³ With a threefold excess of indole starting material, pseudo-first order kinetics were observed, and the relative rate constants were measured for each catalyst. The results are summarized in Scheme 2. All the new compounds **2–4** exhibited some catalytic activity for the chosen reaction, placing them in the category of HB catalysts for the first time. Furthermore, thiophosphoric triamide **4** displayed a 2.6-fold increase in activity compared to thiourea **1**.

The Baylis–Hillman reaction between methyl acrylate and benzaldehyde was also examined with catalysts **1–4**. As in the previous reaction, thioureas have been used successfully to catalyze this transformation.¹⁴ Following the literature protocol,¹⁵ the reactions were carried out neat at room temperature with 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (DABCO) as a co-catalyst (Scheme 3). With a 10-fold excess of methyl acrylate compared to benzaldehyde, this reaction also exhibited pseudo-first order behavior, so relative rate constants were calculated. As in the first example, all catalysts **2–4** showed activity, but this time all were equal to or slightly more effective than the reference catalyst **1**. Interestingly, sulfamide **2** catalyzed the reaction at the fastest rate, 50% faster than thiourea **1**.



Scheme 2. Catalyst comparison for the Friedel–Crafts reaction of *N*-methyl indole with β-nitrostyrene.



cat.	k_{rel}	% conv. (48 h)
none	0.5	32%
1	1.0	58%
2	1.5	73%
3	1.1	59%
4	1.3	67%

Scheme 3. Catalyst comparison for the Baylis–Hillman reaction of methyl acrylate with benzaldehyde.

In summary, the three new compounds **2–4** were synthesized as candidates for HB catalysts. The compounds exhibit extensive hydrogen bonding in the solid state. Each of the three compound types showed activity as HB catalysts. Furthermore, modest improvements (1.5–2.6-fold) were observed for selected Friedel–Crafts and Baylis–Hillman reactions when compared to the corresponding thiourea catalyst. In consideration of the relatively slow rates for many known HB catalysts, the modest rate enhancements exhibited by the sulfamides, phosphoric triamides, and thiophosphoric triamides represent fertile territory for the development of new, more efficient HB catalyst designs.

Future work will involve asymmetric catalyst development. The fact that catalysts **2–4** adopt three-dimensional structures as opposed to the thiourea's preferred flat structure is expected to aid in creating compounds with unique chiral space. In the case of phosphoric triamides and thiophosphoric triamides, the phosphorus atom itself can serve as a chiral center. Work in this project is ongoing.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a grant from the NIH. H.Y. is grateful for the support from the University Research Opportunities Program.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data (experimental methods and selected ¹H and ¹³C NMR data) associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2009.09.129.

References and notes

- (a) Pihko, P. M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 2062–2064; (b) Taylor, M. S.; Jacobsen, E. N. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 1520–1543; (c) Akiyama, T.; Itoh, J.; Fuchibe, K. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2006**, *348*, 999–1010; (d) Doyle, A. G.; Jacobsen, E. N. *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 5713–5743.
- (a) Schreiner, P. R. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2003**, *32*, 289–296; (b) Takemoto, Y. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2005**, *3*, 4299–4306; (c) Connon, S. J. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2006**, *12*, 5418–5427.
- (a) Curran, D. P.; Kuo, L. H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 6647–6650; (b) Vakulya, B.; Varga, S.; Csmpai, A.; Sos, T. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 1967–1969.
- Malerich, J. P.; Hagihara, K.; Rawal, V. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 14416–14417.
- (a) Oh, S. H.; Rho, H. S.; Lee, J. W.; Lee, J. E.; Youk, S. H.; Chin, J.; Song, C. E. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 7872–7875; (b) Luo, J.; Xu, L.-W.; Hay, R. A. S.; Lu, Y. *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 437–440.
- Robak, M. T.; Trincado, M.; Ellman, J. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 15110–15111.
- (a) Corey, E. J.; Grogan, M. J. *Org. Lett.* **1999**, *1*, 157–160; (b) Sohtome, Y.; Hashimoto, Y.; Nagasawa, K. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2005**, *347*, 1643–1648; (c) Terada, M.; Nakano, M.; Ube, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 16044–16045; (d) Uyeda, C.; Jacobsen, E. N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 9228–9229.
- Ganesh, M.; Seidel, D. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 16464–16465.

9. Huang, J.; Corey, E. J. *Org. Lett.* **2004**, 6, 5027–5029.
10. (a) Atwood, J. L.; Cowley, A. H.; Hunter, W. E.; Mehrotra, S. K. *Inorg. Chem.* **1982**, 21, 435–437; (b) Chivers, T.; Krahn, M.; Schatte, G.; Parvez, M. *Inorg. Chem.* **2003**, 42, 3994–4005.
11. Kotke, M.; Schreiner, P. R. *Tetrahedron* **2006**, 62, 434–439.
12. Etter, M. C.; Urbanczyk-Lipkowska, Z.; Zia-Ebrahimi, M.; Panunto, T. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, 112, 8415–8426.
13. Dessole, G.; Herrera, R. P.; Ricci, A. *Synlett* **2004**, 2374–2378.
14. (a) Sohtome, Y.; Tanatani, A.; Hashimoto, Y.; Nagasawa, K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2004**, 45, 5589–5592; (b) Wang, J.; Li, H.; Yu, X.; Zu, L.; Wang, W. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, 7, 4293–4296.
15. Maher, D. J.; Connon, S. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2004**, 45, 1301–1305.